 Sa'id Vakili, Esq. (SBN: 176322) <i>vakili@vakili.com</i> David N. Schultz, Esq. (SBN: 123094) <i>Schu1984@yahoo.com</i> Stephen P. Hoffman, Esq. (SBN: 287075) <i>hoffman@vakili.com</i> VAKILI & LEUS, LLP 3701 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1135 Los Angeles, CA 90010-2822 (213) 380-6010 Fax: (213) 380-6051 <i>Attorneys for Plaintiff Software Freedom Conservancy, Inc.</i>
9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 COUNTY OF ORANGE – CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER 11 11 12 SOFTWARE FREEDOM CONSERVANCY, INC., a New York Non-Profit Corporation, CASE NO.: <u>30-2021-01226723-CU-BC-CJC</u> 13 Plaintiff, [Hon. Sandy Leal / Dept. C33] 14 Plaintiff, [Kote of Plaintiff Software FreeDom Conservancy, INC.'S 16 VIZIO, INC., a California Corporation; and DOES 1 to 50, Inclusive, Request For summary Adjudications of Bradley M Kuhn, Paul Visscher, Sa'id Vakili and Zoe Kooyman; Proposed Order; and Appendix of Exh. submitted concurrently herewith]
20 21 Date: October 16, 2025
21 Date: October 16, 2025 22 Time: 10:00 a.m.
23 Dept.: C33
24
25
26
27
28
REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY ADJUDICATION OF ISSUES

TO THE COURT, ALL PARTIES, AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to California *Rules of Court*, Rules 3.1113(1), 3.1306(c) and California *Evidence Code* § 452, subds. (d), and (h), plaintiff Software Freedom Conservancy, Inc. ("Plaintiff" or "SFC"), will and hereby does, request that the Court take judicial notice of its own file in this matter, and in addition, specifically requests judicial notice of the following:

1. June 1991—GNU General Public License (the "GPLv2"), Version 2, June 1991, at <u>https://www.gnu.org/licenses/old-licenses/gpl-2.0-standalone.html</u>, attached as <u>Exhibit</u> "1" to the concurrently filed Appendix of Exhibits in support of SFC's Motion for Summary Judgment or, in the Alternative, for Summary Adjudication (the "Appendix");

2. GNU Lesser General Public License ("LGPLv.2.1"), version 2.1, February 1999, at https://www.gnu.org/licenses/old-licenses/lgpl-2.1-standalone.html, attached as https://www.gnu.org/licenses/old-licenses/lgpl-2.1-standalone.html, attached as https://www.gnu.org/licenses/old-licenses/lgpl-2.1-standalone.html, attached as https://www.gnu.org/licenses/lgpl-2.1-standalone.html, attached as <a href="https://www.

3. Exhibit 5 to the Declaration of Michael E. Williams in support of VIZIO, Inc.'s Opposition to Software Freedom Conservancy, Inc.'s Motion to Remand, filed in *Software Freedom Conservancy, Inc. v. VIZIO, Inc.*, United States District Court, Central District of California, Case No. 8:21-cv-01943 (the "USDC Removal Action"), attached as **Exhibit** "3" to the Appendix;

4. Declaration of Michael E. Williams, dated April 22, 2022, in support of VIZIO, Inc.'s Opposition to Software Freedom Conservancy, Inc.'s Motion to Remand, filed in the USDC Removal Action (without exhibits thereto), attached as <u>Exhibit</u> "5" to the Appendix;

5. Judge Josephine L. Staton's Order in the USDC Removal Action, granting SFC's Motion to Remand and remanding this action to this Court, dated May 13, 2022, attached as **Exhibit** "6" to the Appendix;

6. This Court's Minute Order, dated December 29, 2023 (*Software Freedom Conservancy, Inc. v. VIZIO, Inc.*, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2021-01226723-CU-BC-CJC), attached as <u>Exhibit</u> "7" to the Appendix;

7. This Court's Minute Order, dated March 26, 2024 (*Software Freedom Conservancy, Inc.*v. *VIZIO, Inc.*, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2021-01226723-CU-BC-CJC), attached as
<u>Exhibit</u> "8" to the Appendix;

26 8. Printout of webpage at <u>https://www.vizio.com/en/tv/overview</u>, entitled "America's
27 Smart TV", attached as <u>Exhibit</u> "9" to the Appendix;

- 1 -

Wikipedia entitled "Smart **TV**," at 9. Printout of article from an 1 https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Smart TV, last visited July 6, 2023, attached as Exhibit "10" to the 2 Appendix; 3 Selected pages from a Form S-1 Registration Statement Under The Securities Act of 10. 4 1933, filed by VIZIO Holding Corp. with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission on 5 March 1, 2021, attached as Exhibit "11" to the Appendix; and 6 "Frequently Asked Questions about the GNU Licenses," printed from 11. 7 https://www.gnu.org/licenses/gpl-faq.html#WhoHasThePower on May 22, 2025, attached as Exhibit 8 "12" to the Appendix. 9 10 DATED: May 23, 2025 **VAKILI & LEUS, LLP** 11 12 By: Sa'id Vakili, Esq. 13 David N. Schultz, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff Software Freedom 14 Conservancy, Inc. 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 - 2 -REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY ADJUDICATION OF ISSUES

1 2	PROOF OF SERVICE Software Freedom Conservancy, Inc. v. VIZIO, Inc., et al. OCSC Case No.: 30-2021-01226723-CU-BC-CJC
3 4	I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 3701 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1135, Los Angeles, California 90010.
5 6 7	On May 23, 2025, I served the foregoing document described as REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF SOFTWARE FREEDOM CONSERVANCY, INC.'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY ADJUDICATION OF ISSUES on all interested parties in this action at the addresses listed below, as follows:
8 9 10 11	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP Michael E. Williams, Esq. (<i>michaelwilliams@quinnemanuel.com</i>) Daniel C. Posner, Esq. (<i>danposner@quinnemanuel.com</i>) John Z. Yin, Esq. (<i>johnyin@quinnemanuel.com</i>) Arian J. Koochesfahani, Esq. (<i>ariankoochesfahani@quinnemanuel.com</i>) 865 South Figueroa Street, 10 th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017-5003
12	213/443-3000 Fax: 213/443-3100
13	Counsel for Defendant Vizio, Inc.
14 15 16 17	() <u>FOR COLLECTION</u> . By placing true copies thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes, addressed as above, and by placing said sealed envelopes for collection and mailing on that date following ordinary business practices. I am "readily familiar" with the business' practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing the U.S. Postal Service. Under that practice, the sealed envelopes would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Los Angeles, California, in the ordinary course of business.
18 19 20	() <u>OVERNIGHT DELIVERY (DROP-OFF) (CCP §1013(c)</u>). By placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope or package as designated by an overnight mail courier, addressed as above, and depositing said envelope or package, with delivery fees provided for, in a box regularly maintained by the overnight mail courier at 3701 Wilshire Boulevard, Los Angeles, California 90010.
21 22	($\underline{\checkmark}$) <u>VIA ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION</u> . I caused to be transmitted a true copy thereof to the designated counsel listed above to his respective e-mail address, pursuant to California <i>Code of Civil Procedure</i> § 1010.6. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.
23 24 25	() <u>PERSONAL DELIVERY</u> . I caused to be served by messenger for personal delivery that me day the foregoing documents in a sealed envelope to the above persons at the address(es) listed in e attached Service List.
25 26	I declare under penalty under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. Executed on May 23, 2025, at Los Angeles, California.
27 28	Jason C. Ming (Printed Name) (Signature)